

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

DANNEZ HUNTER,

Case No. 19-CV-590 (NEB/DTS)

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER ON REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

KEITH RUPERT MURDOCH, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Dannez Hunter filed a 254-page complaint against numerous individuals and entities [ECF No. 1] as well as an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. [ECF No. 2] In his March 21, 2019 Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), United States Magistrate Judge David T. Schultz recommended denying the application and dismissing the case under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) and Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because the complaint was overlong and frivolous. [ECF No. 6.]

Hunter submitted an objection. [ECF No. 8]. This Court reviews *de novo* those portions of the R&R to which objections are made and “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); *see* D. Minn. L.R. 72.2(b)(3). Based on a *de novo* review of the record,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Court ACCEPTS the R&R [ECF No. 6];

2. Hunter's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* [ECF No. 2] is DENIED;
3. Hunter's motions filed after the R&R [ECF Nos. 14 and 24] are DENIED; and
4. The action [ECF No. 1] is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

Dated: May 1, 2019

BY THE COURT:

s/Nancy E. Brasel

Nancy E. Brasel

United States District Judge